



This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY 4.0) license: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/> which permits any use, Share — copy and redistribute the material in any medium or format, Adapt — remix, transform, and build upon the material for any purpose, as long as the authors and the original source are properly cited. © The Author(s) 2026

Smartphone separation anxiety: exploring nomophobia, mental well-being, and interpersonal closeness in emerging adults

Muhammad Ahmad Bin Danyaal^{1*} , Shahnila Tariq²

ABSTRACT

Background and Objective: Nomophobia, defined as the fear of being without access to a mobile phone, has become increasingly prevalent among young adults due to the widespread use of smartphones. Excessive dependence on mobile phones may influence psychological well-being and interpersonal functioning. The current research was conducted to determine the relationship between nomophobia, mental health, and interpersonal relationships among early adults, with the hypothesis that there would be a positive relationship between these variables.

Methods: A sample of 74 early adults (25 males and 49 females) aged 18-25 years was selected using a purposive sampling technique. Data were collected using a Demographic Form, Nomophobia Questionnaire (NMP-Q), General Health Questionnaire, and the Functional Idiographic Assessment Template–Questionnaire (FIAT-Q) Class D: Disclosure and Interpersonal Closeness to assess study variables. Pearson correlation and multiple linear regression analyses were performed to examine relationships among variables.

Results: The mean scores were 86.72 ± 20.02 for nomophobia NMP-Q, 37.72 ± 7.13 for mental health GHQ and -1.31 ± 17.83 for interpersonal closeness (FIAT-Q-D). Correlation analysis showed a strong positive association between nomophobia and poor mental health ($r = 0.80, p < 0.001$) and a moderate positive correlation with interpersonal difficulties ($r = 0.32, p < 0.01$), while mental health was not significantly associated with interpersonal closeness ($r = 0.16, p > 0.05$). Multiple linear regression analysis revealed that nomophobia was a significant positive predictor of interpersonal relationships ($\beta = 0.40$), whereas gender showed a modest positive effect ($\beta = 0.23$).

Conclusion: Nomophobia is significantly associated with poorer mental health and weaker interpersonal relationships among young adults. It also emerged as a significant predictor of interpersonal functioning, highlighting the need to address excessive smartphone use and its psychosocial effects.

Keywords: Nomophobia, mental health, interpersonal relationships, early adults, smartphone dependence.

Received: 03 February 2025

Revised date: 03 May 2025

Accepted: 10 June 2025

Correspondence to: Muhammad Ahmad Bin Danyaal

*M. Phil Student, Department of Applied Psychology, University of Management and Technology Lahore, Pakistan.

Email: ahmaddanyaal01@gmail.com

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article.

Introduction

Rapid technological advancement has made smartphones an integral part of daily life. While mobile devices facilitate communication, information access, and social connectivity, excessive dependence on smartphones has raised concerns regarding their psychological and social consequences. One emerging phenomenon associated with excessive smartphone use is nomophobia, defined as the fear or anxiety experienced when individuals are unable to access or use their mobile phones. Individuals with nomophobia often feel distress when they lose their phone, run out of battery, or lack network connectivity.¹

Global smartphone usage has increased dramatically over the past decade. Reports indicate that smartphone

shipments worldwide reached approximately 1.43 billion units in 2022, with nearly 78% of the global population using smartphones.² Similarly, smartphone ownership among adults in the United States increased from 35% in 2011 to 85% in 2021.³ This widespread adoption has intensified concerns regarding the psychological and behavioral effects of excessive smartphone dependence.

Nomophobia has been reported to be particularly prevalent among adolescents and young adults, who represent the most active smartphone users. Previous studies have documented high prevalence rates of nomophobia among university and medical students.⁴⁻⁶ Research further suggests that excessive smartphone use and nomophobia are associated with various psychological concerns, including

59 anxiety, depression, and loneliness, sleep disturbances, and
60 impaired academic performance.⁷⁻¹¹

61 Interpersonal relationships, defined as socially and
62 emotionally significant connections between individuals,
63 are essential for psychological well-being and healthy
64 social functioning.⁶ Excessive smartphone engagement may
65 reduce face-to-face interactions and weaken interpersonal
66 communication, thereby affecting social relationships.^{10,12,13}
67 Several studies have shown that increased smartphone use
68 and nomophobia are associated with loneliness, reduced
69 social interaction, and poorer interpersonal functioning.^{5,6,8,14}

70 From a theoretical perspective, nomophobia has been
71 conceptualized as a behavioral condition related to anxiety
72 disorders. Although it is not currently recognized as a distinct
73 disorder in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental
74 Disorders, its symptoms resemble those of specific phobias
75 and anxiety-related conditions. Individuals with nomophobia
76 may experience symptoms such as anxiety, agitation,
77 sweating, trembling, and tachycardia when separated from
78 their mobile phones.^{2,5,15,16}

79 Young adults often have high daily screen time and
80 frequently check their phones, which may limit direct social
81 interaction and reduce engagement with people around them.
82 Such behavioral patterns may indicate stronger attachment to
83 mobile devices than to real-life social connections. Previous
84 research also suggests that excessive smartphone use can
85 negatively influence mental health and social functioning.
86 Therefore, it is important to explore whether nomophobia
87 and mental health influence interpersonal relationships
88 among early adults. Understanding these relationships may
89 help identify whether nomophobia and mental health act as
90 predictors of interpersonal functioning in this population.
91 The present study aimed to examine the association between
92 nomophobia, mental health, and interpersonal relationships
93 among early adults. Specifically, the study sought to
94 statistically evaluate the impact of nomophobia on mental
95 health, determine whether interpersonal relationships are
96 influenced by nomophobia, and assess the quantitative
97 relationship between nomophobia, mental health, and the
98 strength of interpersonal relationships.

99 **Methods**

100 This study employed a cross-sectional correlational design
101 to examine the relationship between nomophobia, mental
102 health, and interpersonal relationships among early adults.
103 Assuming 4% margin of error and 96% confidence level, the
104 required sample size was calculated to be 80, with both male
105 and female participants aged 18-25 years enrolled using
106 purposive sampling, a non-probability sampling technique,
107 all being undergraduate students from different programs in
108 the University of Management and Technology, Johar Town

Campus, Lahore. Responses of 6 participants were discarded 109
due to insufficient data provided with numerous empty 110
entries to prevent statistical inference errors. 111

The study population comprised regular, undergraduate 112
university students of both genders aged 18-25 years, 113
representing the early adult age group. Participants 114
were selected to reflect key characteristics of the target 115
population of interest. Individuals with any reported physical 116
disability were excluded from the study to minimize potential 117
confounding factors that could influence the study variables. 118

This cross-sectional study was conducted following 119
standard ethical procedures. Prior to participation, written 120
informed consent was obtained from all individuals after 121
explaining the purpose of the research, the voluntary 122
nature of participation, and their right to withdraw at any 123
time. Confidentiality of participants' responses was strictly 124
maintained. Demographic information, including age, 125
gender, educational level, marital status, family system, 126
and employment status, was collected using a structured 127
questionnaire. Nomophobia was assessed using the 128
Nomophobia Questionnaire (NMP-Q), a 20-item self-report 129
instrument rated on a 7-point Likert scale, measuring mobile 130
phone dependency, anxiety related to disconnection, and 131
behavioral tendencies.¹⁷ Total scores were calculated by 132
summing item responses, with higher scores indicating more 133
severe nomophobia, categorized as absent (20), mild (21- 134
59), moderate (60-99), and severe (100-140). 135

General psychological health was evaluated using the 136
General Health Questionnaire-12 (GHQ-12), a validated 137
12-item self-administered screening tool designed to detect 138
individuals at risk for psychiatric disorders.¹⁸ Each item 139
is rated on a 4-point Likert scale (0-3), with six positively 140
worded items reverse-scored; higher total scores reflect 141
poorer general health. The GHQ-12 demonstrated excellent 142
internal consistency in this study (Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.94$). 143
Interpersonal functioning was measured using the Functional 144
Idiographic Assessment Template Questionnaire (FIAT-Q), 145
which assesses five domains of social and interpersonal 146
behavior.¹⁹ For the present study, only Class D, "Disclosure 147
and Interpersonal Closeness," was analyzed. This subscale 148
comprises seven items (2, 4, 9, 13, 15, 17, and 23) reverse- 149
scored according to the original guidelines, rated on a 6-point 150
Likert scale from "Strongly Disagree" to "Strongly Agree."²⁰ 151
The research instruments were selected after reviewing their 152
psychometric properties, and permission for their use was 153
obtained from the respective authors. Participants completed 154
all questionnaires in a single session, requiring approximately 155
5-10 minutes, and were acknowledged for their participation 156
upon completion. 157

Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed using IBM SPSS Statistics. Descriptive statistics were calculated for demographic and study variables, with means and standard deviations reported for continuous variables and frequencies and percentages for categorical variables.

Pearson’s correlation analysis was performed to examine the relationships between nomophobia (NMP-Q scores), mental health (GHQ-12 scores), and interpersonal relationships (FIAT-Q Class D scores). To assess whether nomophobia and mental health predicted interpersonal relationships, multiple linear regression analysis was conducted with interpersonal relationship scores as the dependent variable.

Additionally, independent sample *t*-tests were applied to evaluate gender differences in the study variables. A *p*-value ≤ 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

A total of 74 early adults participated in the study with a mean age of 21 ± 2.52 years. The majority of participants were aged 21-23 years (60.8%), followed by 18-20 years (35.1%), while only 4.1% were aged 24-25 years. Females constituted the larger proportion of the sample (66.2%) compared with males (33.8%). All participants were bachelor’s level students (100%). Most respondents were single (97.3%), while a small proportion were married (2.7%). Regarding family structure,

71.6% belonged to a nuclear family system, whereas 28.4% reported living in a joint family system. The majority of participants were unemployed (86.5%), while 13.5% reported being employed. Detailed demographic characteristics of the participants are presented in Table 1.

Table 2 presents the descriptive statistics and reliability analysis of the study instruments. The NMP-Q showed a mean score of 86.72 ± 20.02 with excellent internal consistency (Cronbach’s $\alpha = 0.91$). The GHQ-12 demonstrated a mean score of 15.5 ± 7.34 and good reliability ($\alpha = 0.86$). Similarly, the FIAT-Q Class D: Disclosure and Interpersonal Closeness had a mean score of -1.31 ± 17.83 with acceptable internal consistency ($\alpha = 0.70$). Overall, the reliability analysis indicates that all study instruments exhibited satisfactory internal consistency in the present sample.

Table 3 revealed that nomophobia has a significant positive correlation with mental health ($r = 0.801, p < 0.05$) and interpersonal relationships ($r = 0.32, p < 0.01$). Mental Health had an insignificant relationship with interpersonal relationships ($r = 0.16, p > 0.05$).

Table 1. Descriptive statistics of demographic variables.

Characteristics	f (%)	M	SD
Age		21	2.52
18-20	26 (35.1)		
21-23	45 (60.8)		
24-25	3 (4.1)		
Gender			
Male	25 (33.8)		
Female	49 (66.2)		
Education			
Undergraduate Bachelor Students	74 (100)		
Marital status			
Married	2 (2.7)		
Single	72 (97.3)		
Family system			
Nuclear	53 (76)		
Joint	21 (28.4)		
Employment			
Self-employed	10 (13.5)		
Unemployed	64 (86.5)		

Table 2. Psychometric properties and descriptive statistics of nomophobia questionnaire, general health questionnaire, and functional idiographic assessment questionnaire (Class D: Closure and Interpersonal Closeness).

Scale	M	SD	Range	α
Nomophobia Questionnaire	86.72	20.02	35-140	0.91
General Health Questionnaire	15.5	7.34	20-54	0.86
Functional Idiographic Assessment Questionnaire	-1.31	17.83	-42-30	0.70

Table 3. Descriptive statistics and correlation between demographics, nomophobia, mental health, and interpersonal relationships.

Variable	M	SD	1	2	3
1. NMP-Q	86.72	20.02		0.801*	0.32*
2. GHQ	37.72	7.13			0.16
3. FIAT-Q-D	-1.31	17.83			

* $p < 0.05$

Table 4. Linear regression coefficients of nomophobia, mental health, and gender on interpersonal relationships Note (N = 74).

Variable	B	B	SE
Constant	-32.18		12.8
NMP-Q	0.35	0.40	0.17
GHQ	-0.38	-0.15	0.48
Gender	8.62	0.23	4.34
R ²	0.17		

205 Table 4 presents the results of the multiple linear
 206 regression analysis examining the predictors of interpersonal
 207 relationships. The overall model explained 17% of the variance
 208 in interpersonal relationships ($R^2 = 0.17$). Nomophobia
 209 emerged as a significant positive predictor of interpersonal
 210 relationships ($\beta = 0.40, p < 0.05$). However, mental health ($\beta =$
 211 $-0.15, p > 0.05$) and gender ($\beta = 0.23, p > 0.05$) were not found
 212 to be significant predictors of interpersonal relationships
 213 among early adults.

214 Discussion

215 The present study aimed to examine the relationship
 216 between nomophobia, mental health, and interpersonal
 217 relationships among early adults. Specifically, it sought to
 218 determine whether nomophobia is associated with reduced
 219 mental health and weaker interpersonal relationships, and
 220 whether nomophobia and mental health serve as predictors
 221 of interpersonal functioning.

222 Consistent with previous literature, earlier studies have
 223 reported significant associations between nomophobia and
 224 psychological distress, including anxiety, depression, and
 225 insomnia.^{21,22,23} Ahmad et al. reported 97% medical and dental
 226 students were experiencing nomophobia, with a statistically
 227 significant difference observed between Nomophobia and
 228 time spent on mobile phones per day (p -value < 0.05).
 229 Similarly, a multi-institutional study by Jahrami et al.²²
 230 reported 21% participants with severe nomophobia and 14%
 231 had clinical insomnia ($p = 0.001$). Unlike the current study,
 232 where gender showed a modest positive effect ($\beta = 0.23$),
 233 a significant gender differences were seen in NMP-Q scores,
 234 with women reporting greater levels of nomophobia among
 235 university students in Lahore.¹⁰ Similarly, a study by Zeb et
 236 al.²³ from Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan, reports a higher
 237 level of sleep deprivation among university students having
 238 nomophobia, with a female preponderance.

239 The findings of the present study also indicate that
 240 higher levels of nomophobia are associated with poorer
 241 mental health among early adults, supporting earlier
 242 evidence reported by Sharma et al.²⁴ Increased anxiety
 243 related to losing or being separated from a mobile phone
 244 may limit meaningful interpersonal engagement with
 245 family members, friends, and romantic partners, thereby
 246 weakening social relationships.²⁵ Furthermore, the
 247 regression analysis revealed that nomophobia and gender
 248 were significant predictors of interpersonal relationships,
 249 whereas mental health did not emerge as a statistically
 250 significant predictor in this sample.

251 Limitations of the study

252 This study has certain limitations. The cross-sectional design
 253 restricts the ability to infer causal relationships among

nomophobia, mental health, and interpersonal relationships. 254
 In addition, because of financial and time constraints of the 255
 degree, the relatively small sample size and inclusion of only 256
 early adult students limit the generalizability of the findings. 257
 The use of self-reported questionnaires may also introduce 258
 response bias. 259

Future research should include larger and more 260
 diverse populations and employ longitudinal designs to 261
 better understand causal relationships. Studies exploring 262
 intervention strategies to reduce excessive mobile phone use 263
 and nomophobia among young adults are also recommended. 264

Conclusion 265

Nomophobia appears to be common among early adults and 266
 is associated with adverse psychological and social outcomes. 267
 Higher levels of nomophobia were linked with poorer mental 268
 health and weaker interpersonal relationships. Significant 269
 gender differences in nomophobia were also observed. 270
 The study instruments demonstrated satisfactory reliability. 271
 Correlation analysis indicated that nomophobia was 272
 significantly associated with mental health and interpersonal 273
 relationships, whereas mental health showed no significant 274
 relationship with interpersonal relationships. 275

Acknowledgement 276

The authors would like to acknowledge the Chair of the Department 277
 and Head of Department of Applied Psychology, School of 278
 Professional Psychology, University of Management and Technology, 279
 for ensuring the feasibility of the research. The authors also extend 280
 their acknowledgement to the participants for sparing their 281
 invaluable time in providing data for the analyses of psychosocial 282
 patterns, and to the university management for ensuring the 283
 availability of relevant resources in making the research execution 284
 possible. 285

List of Abbreviations 286

FIAT-Q	Functional Idiographic Assessment	287
	Template-Questionnaire	288
FIAT-Q-D	Functional Idiographic Assessment Template-	289
	Questionnaire Class D: Interpersonal Closeness	290
GHQ	General Health Questionnaire	291
NMP-Q	Nomophobia Questionnaire	292
S.D	Standard Deviation	293
SPSS	Statistical Package for the Social Sciences	294

Conflict of interest 295

None to declare. 296

Grant support and financial disclosure 297

None to disclose. 298

Ethical approval 299

The ethical approval of the study was obtained from the Institutional 300
 Review Board of the School of Professional Psychology, University 301

304 of Management and Technology (Lahore) vide Ref. No. ICPY/20/227
305 dated: 03-02-2023.

306 Authors' contributions

307 **MABD:** Study design, data collection, analysis of data, critical
308 intellectual input, interpretation of results, and drafting of
309 manuscript.

310 **ST:** Conceptualization, study design, critical intellectual input,
311 analysis of data, interpretation of results, manuscript drafting.

312 **ALL AUTHORS:** Approval and full responsibility of the final version
313 of the manuscript to be published.

314 Authors' Details

315 Muhammad Ahmad Bin Danyaal¹, Shahnila Tariq²

316 1. M. Phil Student, Department of Applied Psychology, University of
317 Management and Technology, Lahore, Pakistan

318 2. Associate Professor, Department of Applied Psychology, University
319 of Management and Technology, Lahore, Pakistan

320 References

321 1. Fletcher J. Nomophobia: definition, causes, symptoms
322 and treatment [Internet]. London, UK: Healthline; 2024.
323 Available from: [https://www.medicalnewstoday.com/articles/](https://www.medicalnewstoday.com/articles/nomophobia)
324 [nomophobia](https://www.medicalnewstoday.com/articles/nomophobia)

325 2. Slotta D. Smartphones - Statistics & Facts [Internet]. New
326 York, NY: Statista; 2022. Available from: <https://www.statista.com/topics/840/smartphones/#topicOverview>

327 3. Pew Research Center. Mobile Fact Sheet [Internet].
328 Washington, D. C.: Pew Research Center; 2021. Available from:
329 <https://www.pewresearch.org/internet/fact-sheet/mobile/>

330 4. Metro. 13m Britons have 'no mobile phobia' [Internet].
331 London, UK: Metro; 2008. Available from: [https://](https://metro.co.uk/2008/03/30/13m-britons-have-no-mobile-phobia-61935/)
332 [metro.co.uk/2008/03/30/13m-britons-have-no-mobile-](https://metro.co.uk/2008/03/30/13m-britons-have-no-mobile-phobia-61935/)
333 [phobia-61935/](https://metro.co.uk/2008/03/30/13m-britons-have-no-mobile-phobia-61935/)

334 5. Bhattacharya S, Bashar M, Srivastava A, Singh A.
335 Nomophobia: no Mobile Phone Phobia. *J Fam Med Prim*
336 *Care*. 2019;8(4):1297–300. [https://doi.org/10.4103/jfmpc.](https://doi.org/10.4103/jfmpc.jfmpc_71_19)
337 [jfmpc_71_19](https://doi.org/10.4103/jfmpc.jfmpc_71_19)

338 6. Heng S, Gao Q, Wang M. The Effect of Loneliness on
339 Nomophobia: a moderated mediation Model. *Behav Sci*
340 *(Basel)*. 2023;13(7):595. <https://doi.org/10.3390/bs13070595>

341 7. Yildirim C, Sumuer E, Adnan M, Yildirim S. A growing
342 fear: prevalence of nomophobia among Turkish college
343 students. *Inf Develop*. 2016;32(5):1322–31. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1177/0266666915599025)
344 [org/10.1177/0266666915599025](https://doi.org/10.1177/0266666915599025)

345 8. Lin CY, Potenza MN, Ulander M, Broström A, Ohayon
346 MM, Chattu VK, et al. Longitudinal relationships between
347 nomophobia, addictive use of social media, and insomnia
348 in adolescents. *Healthcare*. 2021;9(9):1201. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare9091201)
349 [org/10.3390/healthcare9091201](https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare9091201)

350 9. Kara M, Baytemir K, Inceman-Kara F. Duration of daily
351 smartphone usage as an antecedent of nomophobia:
352 exploring multiple mediation of loneliness and anxiety. *Behav*
353 *Inf Technol*. 2020;40(1):85–98. [https://doi.org/10.1080/0144](https://doi.org/10.1080/0144929X.2019.1673485)
354 [929X.2019.1673485](https://doi.org/10.1080/0144929X.2019.1673485)

355 10. Schwaiger E, Tahir R. Nomophobia and its predictors
356 in undergraduate students of Lahore, Pakistan. *Helion*.
357 2020;6(9):e04837. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2020.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2020.e04837)
358 [e04837](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2020.e04837)

11. Awan K, Saeed S, Zafar N. Nomophobia, insomnia, academic 360
percentage, and mental health problems in late adolescents 361
in Pakistan. *J Res Rev Soc Sci*. 2022;5(2):1776–92. 362

12. APA Dictionary of Psychology. Interpersonal Relations 363
[Internet]. Washington, D.C.: American Psychological 364
Association; 2018. Available from: [https://dictionary.apa.org/](https://dictionary.apa.org/interpersonal-relations)
365 [interpersonal-relations](https://dictionary.apa.org/interpersonal-relations) 366

13. APA Dictionary of Psychology. Mental Health [Internet]. 367
Washington, D.C.: American Psychological Association; 2018. 368
Available from: <https://dictionary.apa.org/mental-health> 369

14. Gezgin DM, Ummet D. An investigation into the relationship 370
between nomophobia and social and emotional loneliness of 371
Turkish university students. *Int J Psychol Ed Stud*. 2021;8(2):14– 372
26. <https://doi.org/10.52380/ijpes.2021.8.2.220> 373

15. Bragazzi N, Del Puente G. A proposal for including 374
nomophobia in the new DSM-V. *Psychol Res Behav Manag*. 375
2014;16(7):155–60. <https://doi.org/10.2147/PRBM.S41386> 376

16. Ren S, Liu T, Zhao X, Yang H, Elhai JD. Is nomophobia 377
problematic or functional? A perspective from bifactor 378
structure. *Int J Ment Health Addict*. 2023;2023:1–20. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11469-023-01030-0)
379 doi.org/10.1007/s11469-023-01030-0 380

17. Yildirim C, Correia, AP. Exploring the dimensions of 381
nomophobia: developing and validating a questionnaire using 382
mixed method research. *Comput Hum Behav*. 2015;49:130–7. 383
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2015.02.059> 384

18. Sánchez-López, MD; Dresch, V. The 12-item general health 385
questionnaire (GHQ-12): reliability, external validity and 386
factor structure in the Spanish population. *Psicothemia*. 387
2008;20(4):839–43. 388

19. Callaghan G. The Functional Idiographic Assessment Template 389
(FIAT) System. *Behav Analyst Today*. 2006;7(3):357–98. 390

20. Darrow SM, Callaghan GM, Bonow JT, Follette WC. The 391
Functional Idiographic Assessment Template-Questionnaire 392
(FIAT-Q): initial Psychometric Properties. *J Contextual*
393 *Behav Sci*. 2014;3(2):124–35. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcbs.2014.02.002)
394 [jcbs.2014.02.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcbs.2014.02.002) 395

21. Ahmad M, Hussain M, Askari H, Bakar I, Meraj Q, Mansoori 396
N. Prevalence of Nomophobia: mobile phone dependence 397
among medical and dental students of Pakistan. *IJAHS*. 398
2019;2(4):213–8. Available from: [http://ijahs.com.pk/index.](http://ijahs.com.pk/index.php/ijahs/article/view/100)
399 [php/ijahs/article/view/100](http://ijahs.com.pk/index.php/ijahs/article/view/100) 400

22. Jahrami H, Rashed M, AlRasheed MM, Bragazzi NL, Saif Z, 401
Alhaj O, et al. Nomophobia is Associated with Insomnia 402
but not with age, sex, BMI, or mobile phone screen size in 403
young adults. *Nat Sci Sleep*. 2021;13:1931–41. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.2147/NSS.S335462)
404 [org/10.2147/NSS.S335462](https://doi.org/10.2147/NSS.S335462) 405

23. Zeb A, Bibi H, Khan MJ, Awan SN. Nomophobia, sleep 406
deprivation and leisure activities in adolescents and 407
young adults. *Pak J Physiol*. 2022;18(3):30–4. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.69656/pjp.v18i3.1447)
408 [org/10.69656/pjp.v18i3.1447](https://doi.org/10.69656/pjp.v18i3.1447) 409

24. Amandeep, Sharma M, Mathur D, Jeenger J. Nomophobia and 410
its relationship with depression, anxiety, and quality of life in 411
adolescents. *Ind Psychiatry J*. 2019;28(2):231–6. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.4103/ipj.ipj_60_18)
412 [org/10.4103/ipj.ipj_60_18](https://doi.org/10.4103/ipj.ipj_60_18) 413

25. Khan M, Israr J, Abbasi PN. Impact of loneliness on 414
nomophobia among university students: mediating role 415
of online social interaction and moderating role of social 416
support. *Pak Soc Sci Rev*. 2025;9(2):74–88. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.35484/pssr.2025(9-II)06)
417 [org/10.35484/pssr.2025\(9-II\)06](https://doi.org/10.35484/pssr.2025(9-II)06) 418